



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.47, No.47

December 2nd, 2011

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: "It is quite certain that this subtle misuse of words, in combination with the equally subtle misuse of fraudulent majorities as a device for centralising power, is neither accidental nor unconscious, although the actual users may think that they understand their import. It has been grasped by our Masters that majorities will always accept a label as an explanation; that the short road to power is to popularise a label, which can always be done by an appeal to greed, and then to fill the bottle which carries it with any noxious rubbish which will achieve the downfall of the purchaser. By the aid of two or three labels, you can sell the same poison indefinitely".

– Clifford Hugh Douglas, "Development of World Dominion" (July 2, 1949).

THE GREAT PONZI SCAM! RT News, Moscow, Papandemonium 'NWO chokes world' YouTube: Political analyst Peter Eyre, Birmingham U.K., speaks of such huge, huge, corruption and fraud that it leaves one breathless. He speaks of the placing of disreputable politicians in positions of power in certain countries, which brought politics into disrepute, the next step is to remove all pretence of constitutional rights by replacing politicians with bankers and bureaucrats who will sort out the economy by dispensing with politics altogether. That way, we can all get on with working to maintain The System, The Machine, call it what you will, without bothering our heads about policies and human rights.

– <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=I-S7eHgV1ns>

DEFEND ALAN JONES FROM THE CENSORS...Andrew Bolt: Andrew Bolt, 23/11/2011, reports: "This is extremely troubling: Alan Jones has breached the commercial radio codes of practice by getting his facts wrong, the regulator found today. The presenter and Radio 2GB also breached the code because they did not present significant viewpoints, the Australian Communications and Media Authority ruled.

The breaches occurred during the *The Alan Jones Breakfast Show* broadcast in February last year. The broadcasts attacked the native vegetation laws and their administration by the New South Wales government. ACMA found that 2GB did not present nor take steps to present more than one significant viewpoint about the operation and administration of native vegetation laws in N.S.W.

Should government-appointment officials really rule on which points of view a broadcaster should air for "balance"? in effect this skews the debate, since government-appointed regulators tend to come for a social class with its own notions of what needs to be balanced and what views don't need countering. Some examples. Why has ACMA never insisted the ABC air the sceptical arguments against dangerous

IN THIS ISSUE • *Thought For The Week* • *Defend Alan Jones From The Censors* • *Dynamics And Techniques Of Centralising Power* • *Blainey And Christian History* • *Our Disgraceful Universities* • *Why Australia Should Not Adopt Asian Teaching Models* • *'Gold-Backed' Money: Detached From Modern Realities* • *Take Your Hands Off Pir Constitution*

man-made warming, an orthodoxy now crumbling? Where is the ACMA ruling demanding a balance on the ABC to its relentless promotion of the "stolen generations" myth?

You know that would never happen, and not because the facts all fall on one side. No, it won't happen because that would make such regulators feel uncomfortably "political" – and because conservatives, unlike the Left, are less likely to demand officials silence and skew a debate.

The ruling can only be the start of a deeper intrusion of the political class into free speech, already so under threat on so many fronts".

DYNAMICS AND TECHNIQUES OF CENTRALISING POWER by John Brett: The latest globalisation headlines reminded me of the letter I wrote to the local paper, about our Roman Catholic Bishop here in Toowoomba, who has been dismissed from Rome! The RC furore here has gone on for weeks, with not one person approaching the underlying position. The surprise was the number of phone calls I had, asking what I meant!!

But the turmoil was put to rest by one writer to the paper, who pointed out that the whole dynamic and history of world power was encapsulated in my letter! Well it was meant to be!

My letter to the Editor, *The Chronicle*, Toowoomba, 7/5/2011, reads:

"Bishop Morris's unfortunate plight does serve to illustrate what all clerics avoid, even when they are victims of the unspoken truth. Local, national, global or church politics is the science of a minority of people controlling for better or worse, a majority of people.

Whether it was Alexander the Great, the Pharaoh's, Julius Caesar, Constantine, the Pope or the Archbishop of Canterbury in earlier times, today it is Presidents, Prime Ministers and Premiers and the Pope who apply the dynamics of power.

Not one of these minorities could identify the only three dynamics available to them for controlling others, as they are not the authors of the dynamic. They have the will to exercise the power extended to them by that even smaller silent minority who do understand the technique.

It is puzzling to the whole world at large, that there are only three dynamics that exist, financial force, the lie and physical force. It is even more puzzling that this simple trinity of power was brought to the world's attention by the founder of the good Bishop's Church, Jesus Christ. He was disposed of because of his discovery, but left us with the vital clue "Know the truth and the truth will set you free".

BLAINEY AND CHRISTIAN HISTORY from Pat Kennedy, Queensland: I was amazed at what I heard recently when on Andrew Bolt's TV programme, notable Australian historian Professor Blainey could not give the reason why Christianity 'hated' Communism and Fascism. The reason being, both belief systems claim the individual is subservient to the State. The State comes first; any means are legitimate to further the cause of the State's power and control over the Individual. In other words, both philosophies are completely amoral, the difference being that one, Communism, is international, and Fascism/Nazism is national. Forget the "Left" and "Right" dialectics, that's simply a smokescreen.

So all of that, plus the fact that most who adhere to those philosophies don't (they couldn't - could they?) believe in a loving God who wants to set us free, surely their belief systems cannot be reconciled to the Christian religion? If Professor Blainey, a prominent historian, couldn't answer that philosophical question, what hope is there for genuine Christian history?

A MUST further reading: * "The Cultivation of History" by Hewlett Edwards. There is nothing new in this push for world control. <http://www.alor.org/Race,%20Culture%20and%20Nation/The%20Cultivation%20of%20History.htm> * "The Pyramid of Power" by C.H. Douglas. Read just

how the pyramid is organised so that those who 'sell their souls' form part of the problem - not the solution. <http://www.alor.org/Political%20Democracy/The%20Pyramid%20of%20Power.htm#1a>
* And ... <http://www.alor.org/Volume47/Vol47No33.htm>

OUR DISGRACEFUL UNIVERSITIES by James Reed: "Overseas Students 'Get Soft Pass Marks'", *The Australian*, November 2, 2011, p.23 - are you surprised to hear about our disgraceful and corrupt money grubbing universities? Victoria's Ombudsman has "reignited concerns over alleged "soft marking" of international students with poor English, citing complaints that academics are under pressure to drop standards to pass fee-paying students." This is well known to be true, for Asianisation has meant that Australian universities have become degree machines for "dollar degrees".

I wonder why university administrators waste everybody's time and don't cut to the chase and simply print off degrees for rich Asians for a big fee? Our disgraceful universities are so foul that they may as well go all the way and be like pigs, frolicking in their own intellectual filth!

WHY AUSTRALIA SHOULD NOT ADOPT ASIAN TEACHING MODELS by James Reed: Michael Wesley, executive director of the Lowy institute ("We Must Look to Asia to Change our Teaching Models", *The Australian*, October 26, 2011, p.29) wishes to take Asianisation to a whole new level: "The real urgency is to match the Asianisation of the student demand in our lecture halls and classrooms with an Asianisation of the knowledge we pass on and the techniques we use." The same teaching techniques are used even during "those years of the growing pressure of students from Asian societies." Teaching models have not changed because of "the arrogant belief that in the Western school, college or university rests the pinnacle of knowledge and teaching achieved by humanity."

Wesley takes the example of the political concept of power. In the West it is assumed that power can be observed and measured. But the infinitely smarter Asian cultures have a different understanding ("this is not the way different cultural traditions in Asia view and understand power and influence") A crucial point in his argument, but no other explanation is given. Presumably, some sort of cultural view which views power as not observable, empirical or measurable is advocated. That might fill in with multicultural relativism but it makes no scientific sense. On the contrary, Asia's success has been hard work and discipline in the classroom at core knowledge topics such as mathematics, rather than wasting time on political correctness. Asian countries, even when colonies of the West, never wasted time on "Westernisation" and nor should we now on "Asianisation".

A little way back in the same edition of Murdoch's mighty mag sits "Students, Teachers Know to Toe Censorship 'Red Line' (*The Australian*, October 26, 2011, p.23). There we hear a different story about Asian education where Chinese students report back to the Communist Party what other students say in the classroom in China. Students who say the wrong thing are hounded by the Chinese authorities. This has created a culture of fear and self-censorship. Chinese students are subjected to compulsory "political ideology education" and a certain level must be reached before graduation. I would be interested in knowing whether Michael Wesley would like this sort of system and if not how his "abandon the West" approach would not logically lead to it. Please explain.

'GOLD-BACKED' MONEY: DETACHED FROM MODERN REALITIES by Wallace Klinck: All this talk about Gold and "fiat" money is completely detached from the realities of real production and consumption in a modern economy. It sounds more like Black Magic than objective science. Money or credit that is issued and accounted against real productive capacity and finalized consumer goods is "real money" and derives its value from real wealth, potential and actual. The problem today is that all money is issued as debt for production which means that it all creates new financial costs.

Because of the conventions of existing cost accountancy prices are created in excess of the incomes

distributed through industry and the Herculean task of cost liquidation is rendered increasingly impossible. Debt incurred to liquidate said financial costs is not liquidation at all because it merely transfers these costs as a charge to be incorporated in the financial costs and prices of future production. The whole process is quite mad. Unfortunately, at higher policy levels, the madness is not without method or conscious intent. The resulting concentration of financial-economic and political power continues unabated.

The evil is not in the ability of money to be created by private institutions in order to actualize production capacity. The problem lies in such institutions holding a monopoly of credit creation. We do not want to transfer that monopoly to the State, but the State should use its power to break the private Monopoly of Credit so that money serves the interests of humanity and not those of the Credit Monopoly.

Financial "liquidation" is merely a euphemism for "foreclosure" and our present false system of financial "accountancy" is simply a mechanism for the continuing unjustifiable and evil progressive confiscation of the real assets of the community which confiscation has no justification and would not exist under realistic financial accountancy. The simplistic claim that financial "liquidation" is the "natural consequence of government interference in the market" is a misconception. It is the consequence of adherence to a faulty system of national financial cost-accountancy...

"Mammon and Leviathan conspire, combined with the natural frailties of humanity, to work against Faith (as in confidence) Hope, Love and Grace. But if we'd incorporate Grace into the policies of the financial and economic systems... we'd have *abundance* instead of scarcity, confidence instead of insecurity, hope instead of hopelessness and a whole lot more time and so the freedom to express and experience love for others, ourselves and the rest of the planet's inhabitants.

TAKE YOUR HANDS OFF OUR CONSTITUTION! by Ian Wilson LL.B: Always beware when politicians and other members of the politically correct chattering class want to change the Constitution. The Coalition is to support a referendum on the removal of section 25 to the Constitution which gives the States the power to disqualify a race from voting at elections for the States' Lower House. ("Coalition to Act on Race Edict", *The Weekend Australian*, 12-13 November 2011, p.8).

Liberal MP Ken Wyatt, the first Aboriginal member of the House of Representatives, has been lobbying the Opposition "to substantially remove discrimination from the Constitution". This is to "set the racism agenda aside". According to Wyatt there is "racism" in two sections of the Constitution (sections 25 and 51, the race powers section).

Against this, there were good reasons for the Founding Fathers to include racial control powers. There are good reasons, for the survival of our people to have race powers and to, in the worst case, control a race from voting. Demographic swamping could necessitate such defensive measures. That the Coalition would go along with the politically correct establishment clearly shows their bankruptcy on the race and immigration issues.

Task for readers/actionists: Next year the Queen will have reigned for 60 years. Surely such service to her people deserves some recognition by each level of government, as our representatives, for reaching such a milestone. During that period, we have enjoyed more peace and security than many other nations, due in no small way to our system of government. Is YOUR Local Council participating in that recognition? ASK THEM!

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 245 Russell St., Melbourne.
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368
Subscription \$45.00 p.a.

